

**Finn, Kathy**

---

**From:** dan@gerawan.com%inter2 [dan@gerawan.com] on behalf of dan@gerawan.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2005 5:48 AM  
**To:** Finn, Kathy  
**Subject:** Proposal 13 comments

Maryse  
Sagewynd

maryse@televar.com

I oppose Proposal 13 which seeks authority to restrict fruit shipped to market destinations.

THIS IS OFFENSIVE! NO ONE HAS THE RIGHT TO INTERFERE WITH MY CHOICE OF ANY FOOD! NO ONE HAS THE RIGHT TO BLOCK MY ACCESS TO ANY PRODUCE WHICH I WISH TO OBTAIN! THE GOVERNMENT IS BEING USED BY BIG BUSINESS, ONCE AGAIN, TO TIGHTLY CONTROL INDIVIDUAL PEOPLE AND FARMERS---BUT BIG BUSINESS WOULD BE THE FIRST TO WHINE THAT GOVERNMENT SHOULD GET OFF THEIR BACKS! WELL, WE PEOPLE AND SMALL FARMERS SAY THIS TOO: GOVERNMENT AND BIG-BUCK BUSINESS, DON'T TREAD ON ME!

And guess what? We will find a way to get the wholesome, diverse food that we want and must have, regardless of your interference and mis-guided regulations.

Instead of restricting growers from selling their fruit, government should protect their right to sell their crop.

It's not right that government tries to force me to pay more by reducing the options available to me.

The rights of individuals and consumers are more important than the selfish desires of a fruit cartel.

Let consumers have freedom of choice. The government should not tell us what we can buy. As long as fruit is healthy, mature, and nutritious, the government should not restrict it.

Restricting choice can only mean higher prices for consumers. The poor will be harmed most by such restrictions.

US

2005 MAR 31 AM 9:39

RE ENVED